1. Guidance

Overview

The Better Care Fund (BCF) reporting requirements are set out in the BCF Planning Requirements document for 2022-23, which supports the aims of the BCF Policy Framework and the BCF programme; jointly led and developed by the national partners Department of Health (DHSC), Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities, NHS England (NHSE), Local Government Association (LGA), working with the Association of Directors of Adult Social Services (ADASS).

The key purposes of BCF reporting are:

- 1) To confirm the status of continued compliance against the requirements of the fund (BCF)
- 2) To confirm actual income and expenditure in BCF plans at the end of the financial year
- 3) To provide information from local areas on challenges, achievements and support needs in progressing the delivery of BCF plans
- 4) To enable the use of this information for national partners to inform future direction and for local areas to inform improvements

BCF reporting is likely to be used by local areas, alongside any other information to help inform HWBs on progress on integration and the BCF. It is also intended to inform BCF national partners as well as those responsible for delivering the BCF plans at a local level (including ICB's, local authorities and service providers) for the purposes noted above.

BCF reports submitted by local areas are required to be signed off by HWBs as the accountable governance body for the BCF locally. Aggregated reporting information will be published on the NHS England website in due course.

Note on entering information into this template

Throughout the template, cells which are open for input have a yellow background and those that are pre-populated have a grey background, as below:

Data needs inputting in the cell

Pre-populated cells

Note on viewing the sheets optimally

To more optimally view each of the sheets and in particular the drop down lists clearly on screen, please change the zoom level between 90% - 100%. Most drop downs are also available to view as lists within the relevant sheet or in the guidance tab for readability if required.

The row heights and column widths can be adjusted to fit and view text more comfortably for the cells that require narrative information.

Please DO NOT directly copy/cut & paste to populate the fields when completing the template as this can cause issues during the aggregation process. If you must 'copy & paste', please use the 'Paste Special' operation and paste Values only.

The details of each sheet within the template are outlined below.

ASC Discharge Fund-due 2nd May

This is the last tab in the workbook and must be submitted by 2nd May 2023 as this will flow to DHSC. It can be submitted with the rest of workbook empty as long as all the details are complete within this tab, as well as the cover sheet although we are not expecting this to be signed off by HWB at this point. The rest of the template can then be later resubmitted with the remaining sections completed.

After selecting a HWB from the dropdown please check that the planned expenditure for each scheme type submitted in your ASC Discharge Fund plan are populated.

Please then enter the actual packages of care that matches the unit of measure pre-specified where applicable.

If there are any new scheme types not previously entered, please enter these in the bottom section indicated by a new header. At the very bottom there is a totals summary for expenditure which we'd like you to add a breakdown by LA and ICB.

Please also include summary narrative on:

- 1. Scheme impact
- 2. Narrative describing any changes to planned spending e.g. did plans get changed in response to pressures or demand? Please also detail any underspend.
- 3. Assessment of the impact the funding delivered and any learning. Where relevant to this assessment, please include details such as: number of packages purchased, number of hours of care, number of weeks (duration of support), number of individuals supported, unit costs, staff hours purchased and increase in pay etc
- 4. Any shared learning

Checklist (2. Cover)

- 1. This section helps identify the sheets that have not been completed. All fields that appear as incomplete should be complete before sending to the BCF Team.
- 2. The checker column, which can be found on the individual sheets, updates automatically as questions are completed. It will appear 'Red' and contain the word 'No' if the information has not been completed. Once completed the checker column will change to 'Green' and contain the word 'Yes'
- 3. The 'sheet completed' cell will update when all 'checker' values for the sheet are green containing the word 'Yes'.
- 4. Once the checker column contains all cells marked 'Yes' the 'Incomplete Template' cell (below the title) will change to 'Template Complete'.
- 5. Please ensure that all boxes on the checklist are green before submission.

2 Covo

- 1. The cover sheet provides essential information on the area for which the template is being completed, contacts and sign off.
- 2. HWB sign off will be subject to your own governance arrangements which may include a delegated authority.
- 3. Question completion tracks the number of questions that have been completed; when all the questions in each section of the template have been completed the cell will turn green. Only when all cells are green should the template be sent to: england.bettercarefundteam@nhs.net

(please also copy in your respective Better Care Manager)

4. Please note that in line with fair processing of personal data we request email addresses for individuals completing the reporting template in order to communicate with and resolve any issues arising during the reporting cycle. We remove these addresses from the supplied templates when they are collated and delete them when they are no longer needed.

3. National Conditions

This section requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm whether the four national conditions detailed in the Better Care Fund planning requirements for 2022-23 (link below) continue to be met through the delivery of your plan. Please confirm as at the time of completion.

https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/better-care-fund-planning-requirements-2022-23/

This sheet sets out the four conditions and requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm 'Yes' or 'No' that these continue to be met. Should 'No' be selected, please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met for the year and how this is being addressed. Please note that where a National Condition is not being met, the HWB is expected to contact their Better Care Manager in the first instance.

In summary, the four national conditions are as below:

National condition 1: Plans to be jointly agreed

National condition 2: NHS contribution to adult social care is maintained in line with the uplift to NHS Minimum Contribution

National condition 3: Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out-of-hospital services

National condition 4: Plan for improving outcomes for people being discharged from hospital

4. Metrics

The BCF plan includes the following metrics: Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions, Proportion of discharges to a person's usual place of residence, Residential Admissions and Reablement. Plans for these metrics were agreed as part of the BCF planning process.

This section captures a confidence assessment on achieving the plans for each of the BCF metrics.

A brief commentary is requested for each metric outlining the challenges faced in achieving the metric plans, any support needs and successes that have been achieved.

The BCF Team publish data from the Secondary Uses Service (SUS) dataset for Dischaege to usual place of residence and avoidable admissions at a local authority level to assist systems in understanding performance at local authority level.

The metrics worksheet seeks a best estimate of confidence on progress against the achievement of BCF metric plans and the related narrative information and it is advised that:

- In making the confidence assessment on progress, please utilise the available metric data along with any available proxy data.
- In providing the narrative on Challenges and Support needs, and Achievements, most areas have a sufficiently good perspective on these themes and the unavailability of published metric data for one/two of the three months of the quarter is not expected to hinder the ability to provide this useful information. Please also reflect on the metric performance trend when compared to the quarter from the previous year emphasising any improvement or deterioration observed or anticipated and any associated comments to explain.

Please note that the metrics themselves will be referenced (and reported as required) as per the standard national published datasets.

5. Income and Expenditure

The Better Care Fund 2022-23 pool constitutes mandatory funding sources and any voluntary additional pooling from LAs (Local Authorities) and NHS. The mandatory funding sources are the DFG (Disabled Facilities Grant), the improved Better Care Fund (iBCF) grant, minimum NHS contribution and additional contributions from LA and NHS. This year we include final spend from the Adult Social Care discharge fund.

Income section:

- Please confirm the total HWB level actual BCF pooled income for 2022-23 by reporting any changes to the planned additional contributions by LAs and NHS as was reported on the BCF planning template.
- In addition to BCF funding, please also confirm the total amount received from the ASC discharge fund via LA and ICB if this has changed.
- The template will automatically pre populate the planned expenditure in 2022-23 from BCF plans, including additional contributions.
- If the amount of additional pooled funding placed into the area's section 75 agreement is different to the amount in the plan, you should select 'Yes'. You will then be able to enter a revised figure. Please enter the **actual income** from additional NHS or LA contributions in 2022-23 in the yellow boxes provided, **NOT** the difference between the planned and actual income.
- Please provide any comments that may be useful for local context for the reported actual income in 2022-23.

Expenditure section

- Please select from the drop down box to indicate whether the actual expenditure in your BCF section 75 is different to the planned amount.
- If you select 'Yes', the boxes to record actual spend, and explanatory comments will unlock.
- You can then enter the total, HWB level, actual BCF expenditure for 2022-23 in the yellow box provided and also enter a short commentary on the reasons for the change.
- Please include actual expenditure from the ASC discharge fund.
- Please provide any comments that may be useful for local context for the reported actual expenditure in 2022-23.

6. Year End Feedback

This section provides an opportunity to provide feedback on delivering the BCF in 2022-23 through a set of survey questions

These questions are kept consistent from year to year to provide a time series.

The purpose of this survey is to provide an opportunity for local areas to consider the impact of BCF and to provide the BCF national partners a view on the impact across the country. There are a total of 5 questions. These are set out below.

Part 1 - Delivery of the Better Care Fund

There are a total of 3 questions in this section. Each is set out as a statement, for which you are asked to select one of the following responses:

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

The questions are:

- 1. The overall delivery of the BCF has improved joint working between health and social care in our locality
- 2. Our BCF schemes were implemented as planned in 2022-23
- 3. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2022-23 had a positive impact on the integration of health and social care in our locality

Part 2 - Successes and Challenges

This part of the survey utilises the SCIE (Social Care Institue for Excellence) Integration Logic Model published on this link below to capture two key challenges and successes against the 'Enablers for integration' expressed in the Logic Model.

Please highlight:

- 4. Two key successes observed toward driving the enablers for integration (expressed in SCIE's logic model) in 2022-23.
- 5. Two key challenges observed toward driving the enablers for integration (expressed in SCIE's logic model) in 2022-23?

For each success and challenge, please select the most relevant enabler from the SCIE logic model and provide a narrative describing the issues, and how you have made progress locally.

SCIE - Integrated care Logic Model

- 1. Local contextual factors (e.g. financial health, funding arrangements, demographics, urban vs rurual factors)
- 2. Strong, system-wide governance and systems leadership
- 3. Integrated electronic records and sharing across the system with service users
- 4. Empowering users to have choice and control through an asset based approach, shared decision making and co-production
- 5. Integrated workforce: joint approach to training and upskilling of workforce
- 6. Good quality and sustainable provider market that can meet demand
- 7. Joined-up regulatory approach
- 8. Pooled or aligned resources
- 9. Joint commissioning of health and social care









2. Cove

Version 1.0

Please Note.

- The BCF end of year reports are categorised as 'Management Information' and data from them will published in an aggregated form on the NHSE website. This will include any narrative section. Also a reminder that as is usually the case with public body information, all BCF information collected here is subject to Freedom of Information requests.
- At a local level it is for the HWB to decide what information it needs to publish as part of wider local government reporting and transparency requirements. Until BCF information is published, recipients of BCF reporting information (including recipients who access any information placed on the BCE) are prohibited from making this information available on any public domain or providing this information for the purposes of journalism or research without prior consent from the HWB (where it concerns a single HWB) or the BCF national partners for the aggregated information.
- All information will be supplied to BCF partners to inform policy development.
- This template is password protected to ensure data integrity and accurate aggregation of collected information. A resubmission may be required if this is breached.

Health and Wellbeing Board:	North Tyneside	
Completed by:	Sue Graham	
E-mail:	sue.graham@northtynes	ide.gov.uk
Contact number:		7753113741
Has this report been signed off by (or on behalf of) the HWB at the time of		
submission?	No	
		<< Please enter using the format,
If no, please indicate when the report is expected to be signed off:	Thu 22/06/2023	DD/MM/YYYY

Question Completion - when all questions have been answered and the validation boxes below have turned green you should send the template to england.bettercarefundteam@nhs.net saving the file as 'Name HWB' for example 'County Durham HWB'. This does not apply to

Complete: 2. Cover Yes 3. National Conditions Yes 4. Metrics Yes 5. Income and Expenditure actual Yes 6. Year-End Feedback Yes

<< Link to the Guidance sheet

^^ Link back to top



3. National Conditions

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board: North Tyneside

Confirmation of Nation Conditions			
		If the answer is "No" please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met in 2022-	
National Condition	Confirmation	23:	
1) A Plan has been agreed for the Health and Wellbeing	Yes		
Board area that includes all mandatory funding and this			
is included in a pooled fund governed under section 75 of			
the NHS Act 2006?			
(This should include engagement with district councils on			
use of Disabled Facilities Grant in two tier areas)			
2) Planned contribution to social care from the NHS	Yes		
minimum contribution is agreed in line with the BCF			
policy?			
3) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out of	Yes		
hospital services?			
4) Plan for improving outcomes for people being	Yes		
discharged from hospital			



4. Metrics

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

North Tyneside

National data may be unavailable at the time of reporting. As such, please utilise data that may only be available system-wide and other local intelligence.

Challenges and

Please describe any challenges faced in meeting the planned target, and please highlight any support that may facilitate or ease the achievements of metric plans

Support Needs

Achievements Please describe any achievements, impact observed or lessons learnt when considering improvements being pursued for the respective metrics

Metric	Definition	For information - Your planned performance as reported in 2022-23 planning		Challenges and any Support Needs	Achievements
Avoidable admissions	Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions (NHS Outcome Framework indicator 2.3i)	1,044.0		Outturn is estimated as 1139 which is 9% higher than target of 1044. This result is worse compared to the 2021/22 outturn of 1125. Challenge is the continuing high level of need / high levels of acuity	Progress with 2 hour urgent community response and establishment of virtual ward for frailty during the year should provide strengthened alternatives in 2023/24
Discharge to normal place of residence	Percentage of people who are discharged from acute hospital to their normal place of residence	90.0%	On track to meet target	Forecasted to be an outturn of 91.5% vs target of 90%. Lack of capacity in homecare continues to be a challenge	Reablement service supports discharge to usual place of residence.
Residential Admissions	Rate of permanent admissions to residential care per 100,000 population (65+)	402	Not on track to meet target	Estimated to be an outturn of 10% higher level of admissions compared to 2021/22. Lack of capacity in homecare continues to be a challenge and level of acuity in clients is often challenging to support at home in a	New short term step down facilities of residential/nursing beds and short term extra care have prevented some long term admissions
Reablement	Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement / rehabilitation services	90.0%	Not on track to meet target	Higher than normal level of deaths and admissions to residential care - criteria for referral being revisited to ensure the right cohort is receiving the service. New structure aimed at providing enhanced career	Capacity of the service has been maintained and restructure is bedding in

<u>Checklist</u> Complete:
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes

5. Income and Expenditure actual

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

North Tyneside

Income							
			2022-23				
Disabled Facilities Grant	£1,869,024						
Improved Better Care Fund	£9,578,514						
NHS Minimum Fund	£19,326,469						
Minimum Sub Total		£30,774,007					Checklist
William Sub-Fotul	Planned			Actual			Complete
	Harrica		Do you wish to change your	ccuui			complete
NHS Additional Funding	£0		additional actual NHS funding?	No			Yes
WITS Additional Funding	10		Do you wish to change your	INO			165
LA Additional Funding	£1,157,668		additional actual LA funding?	No			Yes
Additional Sub Total	11,137,008	£1,157,668	additional actual EA fanding:	110		£1,157,668	Tes
Additional Sub-Total		11,157,008				11,157,008	
	21 122 22						
	Planned 22-23	Actual 22-23					
Total BCF Pooled Fund	£31,931,675	£31,931,675					
			ASC Discharge Fund				
						_	
	Planned		A	Actual	1		
			Do you wish to change your				
LA Plan Spend	£859,231		additional actual LA funding?	No			Yes
			Do you wish to change your				
ICB Plan Spend	£902,492		additional actual ICB funding?	No			Yes
ASC Discharge Fund Total		£1,761,723	_			£1,761,723	
0		==,: ==,: ==				==/: ==/:==	
	Planned 22-23	Actual 22-23					
	£33,693,398	£33,693,398					
RCE + Discharge Fund	155,095,596	133,093,396					
BCF + Discharge Fund							
BCF + Discharge Fund							
-							
Please provide any comments that may	be useful for local context						
Please provide any comments that may where there is a difference between pla	be useful for local context nned and actual income for						Yes
Please provide any comments that may where there is a difference between pla	be useful for local context nned and actual income for						Yes
Please provide any comments that may where there is a difference between pla	be useful for local context nned and actual income for						Yes
Please provide any comments that may where there is a difference between pla	be useful for local context nned and actual income for						Yes
Please provide any comments that may where there is a difference between pla 2022-23	be useful for local context nned and actual income for						Yes
Please provide any comments that may where there is a difference between pla 2022-23	be useful for local context nned and actual income for						Yes
Please provide any comments that may where there is a difference between pla 2022-23	nned and actual income for						Yes
Please provide any comments that may where there is a difference between pla 2022-23 Expenditure	be useful for local context nned and actual income for 2022-23						Yes

Do you wish to change your actual BCF expenditure?	Yes		Yes
Actual £30,674,367			Yes
ASC Discharge Fund Plan £1,761,723			
Do you wish to change your actual BCF expenditure?	No		Yes
Actual £1,761,723			Yes
Please provide any comments that may be useful for local context where there is a difference between the planned and actual expenditure for 2022-23	Underspend on Disabled Facilities Grant due to a range of factors	including difficulties with contractor capacity	Yes

6. Year-End Feedback

The purpose of this survey is to provide an opportunity for local areas to consider and give feedback on the impact of the BCF. There is a total of 5 questions. These are set out below.

elected I	Joseph	and W	Vallhair	an Doar	м.

North Tyneside

Part 1: Delivery of the Better Care Fund
Please use the below form to indicate to what extent you agree with the following statements and then detail any further supporting information in the corresponding comment boxes

Statement:	Response:	Comments: Please detail any further supporting information for each response
The overall delivery of the BCF has improved joint working between health and social care in our locality	Agree	Relationships between leaders continue to strengthen. Local changes due to the restructured NHS organisations and a change in Director of Social Care during the year have served to encourage even closer working. Agile response to establishing additional step down capacity to support discharges early in the autumn is evidence of close cooperation and timely
Our BCF schemes were implemented as planned in 2022-23	Agree	All funding spent in line with plans with the exception of an underspend against the Disabled Facilities Grant.
The delivery of our BCF plan in 2022-23 had a positive impact on the integration of health and social care in our locality	Agree	Discharges continue to be managed effectively through our single point of access with Health and social care co-located on the hospital site. Health and social care continue to work together to strengthen preventative approaches and ensure the right care is delivered at the right time.

Part 2: Successes and Challenges

lease select two Enablers from the SCIE Logic model which you have observed demonstrable success in progressing and two Enablers which you have experienced a relatively greater degree of

Outline two key successes observed toward driving the enablers for integration (expressed in SCIE's logical model) in 2022-23	SCIE Logic Model Enablers, Response category:	Response - Please detail your greatest successes
Success 1	3 Strong system wide governance	Relationships between leaders continue to strengthen. Local changes due to the restructured NHS organisations and a change in Director of Social Care during the year have served to encourage even closer working. Agile response to establishing additional step down capacity to support discharges early in the autumn is evidence of close cooperation and timely decision-making
Success 2		Discharges continue to be managed effectively through our single point of access with Health and social care co-located on the hospital site. Health and social care continue to work together to strengthen preventative approaches and ensure the right care is delivered at the right place at the right time.

Outline two key challenges observed toward driving the enablers for integration (expressed in SCIE's logical model) in 2022- 23	SCIE Logic Model Enablers, Response category:	Response - Please detail your greatest challenges
Challenge 1	6. Good quality and sustainable provider market that can meet demand	Although provider quality in North Tyneside is higher than national average per CQC ratings, workforce recruitment and retention issues continue to cause capacity shortages especially within homecare leading to over use of residential care
Challenge 2	Integrated electronic records and sharing across the system with service users	Lack of system integration continues to cause issues with sharing information across the system. The implementation of systmone will support in some areas

Footnotes:

Question 4 and 5 are should be assigned to one of the following categories:

- 1. Local contextual factors (e.g. financial health, funding arrangements, demographics, urban vs rural factors)
- 2. Strong, system-wide governance and systems leadership
- 3. Integrated electronic records and sharing across the system with service users
- 4. Empowering users to have choice and control through an asset based approach, shared decision making and co-production
- 5. Integrated workforce: joint approach to training and upskilling of workforce
- 6. Good quality and sustainable provider market that can meet demand
- 7. Joined-up regulatory approach
- 8. Pooled or aligned resources
- 9. Joint commissioning of health and social care

<u>Checklist</u> Complete:
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes

ASC Discharge Fund

selected Health and Wellbeing Board	d
-------------------------------------	---

		1
Marth	Tunocid	

Please complete and submit this section (along with Cover sheet contained within this workbook) by 2nd May

For each scheme type please confirm the impact of the scheme in relation to the relevant units asked for and actual expenditure. Please then provide narrative around how the fund was utilised, the duration of care it provided and and any changes to planned spend. At the very bottom of this sheet there is a totals summary, please also include aggregate spend by LA and ICB which should match actual total prepopulation.

The actual impact column is used to undestand the benefit from the fund. This is different to each sheme and sub-type and the unit for this metric has been pre-populated. This will align with metrics reported in fortnightly returns for scheme types.

1) For 'residential placements' and 'bed based intermediary care services', please state the number of beds purchased through the fund, (i.e. if 10 beds are made available for 12 weeks, please put 10 in column H and please add in your column K explanation that this achieve 120 weeks of bed based 1) For "residential placements" and bed based intermeasing care services, pease state the number of beets purchased through the runs. μ.ε. if μν exist are used as the control of the place state the number of care hours purchased through the fund.
2) For "home care or domiciliary care of home", please state the number of care hours purchased through the fund.
4) For "reprovement retermion or retemplyed ospacing workforce", please state the number of staff this relates to.
5) For "Additional or retemplyed ospacing workforce", please state the number of staff this relates to.
5) For "Additional retemplyed ospacing workforce", please state the number of staff this relates to.
6) For "Adsitive Technologies and Capipment", please state the animale of using the reflections through the fund purchased.
6) For "Assitive Technologies and Capipment", please state the animale of using the reflections through the fund.
7) For tocal Revisione in Intellives, gives state the addition number of staff this has helper recruit through the fund.

If there are any additional scheme types invested in since the submitted plan, please enter these into the bottom section found by scrolling further down.

Scheme Name	Scheme Type	Sub Types	Planned Expenditure	Actual Expenditure	Actual Number of Packages	Unit of Measure	Did you make any changes to planned spending?	If yes, please explain why	Did the scheme have the intended impact?	If yes, please explain how, if not, why was this not possible	Do you have any learning from this scheme?
Additional Transport - secondary care	Other		£54,520	£54,520	0	N/A	No		Yes	Note we have activity information from this scheme in terms of numbers of clients transported but the transport was to support the package or placement put in place so counting these would	no
Additional transport Carepoint	Other		£10,000	£10,000	0	N/A	No		Yes	Note we have activity information from this scheme in terms of numbers of clients transported but the transport was to support the package or placement put in place so counting these would	no
Administration - LA	Administration	<please select=""></please>	£8,590	£8,590	0	N/A	No		Yes	Reporting introduced new burdens - reporting requirements met	no
Administration ICB	Administration		£9,024	£9,024	0	N/A	No		Yes	Reporting introduced new burdens - reporting requirements met	no
Assistive Technology	Assistive Technologies and Equipment	Community based equipment	£50,000	£50,000	150	Number of beneficiaries	No		Yes	Assistive technology offered to all discharges home dealt with by social care. Technology supports individuals to live at home safely and in some cases lifestyle monitoring helped to identify a	no
Bolster capacity for residential care	Residential Placements	Care home	£197,948	£0	0	Number of beds	Yes	Further evaluation indicated that sufficient residential and nursing step down capacity was in place so proposed investment moved to other areas	Yes	scheme not progressed - funding diverted elsewhere	no
Bolster capacity in homecare/extra care	Home Care or Domiciliary Care	Domiciliary care workforce development	£200,000	£306,585	0	Hours of care	Yes	Engagement with homecare providers and ongoing monitoring of demand and capacity for homecare within the Borough indicated a need for additional support for the homecare sector	Yes	Still early to fully evaluate the success of this funding as we are still collecting data on how providers deployed recruitment and retention payments and what impact these have had. Further	no
Edith Moffatt Reablement flats	Home Care or Domiciliary Care	Domiciliary care to support hospital discharge	£146,000	£140,000	3,120	Hours of care	Yes	Actual costs slightly lower than planned as plan based on estimated care hours	Yes	Excellent outcomes achieved with the vast majority of clients return home after a temporary stay in extra care. Note costs include rent of the flats in addition to care hours provided. 8	yes
Extend contract for 5 additional intermediate care beds	Bed Based Intermediate Care Services	Step down (discharge to assess pathway 2)	£30,000	£57,000	5	Number of beds	Yes	Additional 5 beds made available for more weeks than orginally planned.	Yes	Scheme provided some additional capacity allowing more discharges to be placed in step down beds and allowing those placed to stay longer to continue their rehabiliation with a view	no
Flexible homecare response	Home Care or Domiciliary Care	Domiciliary care to support hospital discharge	£102,000	£128,035	3,656	Hours of care	Yes	Were able to generate additional hours from within existing Reablement staff team which were not originally in the plan.	Yes	Additional inhouse homecare recruited to enhance capacity - linked to additional vehicle providing more responsive service. Costs include hire of a vehicle	no
Howdon Step Down beds	Residential Placements	Nursing home	£235,000	£252,500	10	Number of beds	Yes	Unit costs were slightly higher than planned and an additional amount for GP cover was included.	Yes	Additional step down beds allowed rapid discharges. Flow maintained through these beds ensuring blockage was not simply transferred downstream from hospital. Outcomes for	yes
Reablement Flats at Havelock Place	Home Care or Domiciliary Care	Domiciliary care to support hospital discharge	£74,000	£83,328	1,350	Hours of care	Yes	Includes cost of GP cover not in original plan.	Yes	Excellent outcomes achieved with the vast majority of clients return home after a temporary stay in extra care - 6 rooms for 15 weeks	yes
Step down bed - Howdon Care Centre	Bed Based Intermediate Care Services	Step down (discharge to assess pathway 2)	£235,000	£252,500	10	Number of beds	Yes	Unit costs were slightly higher than planned and an additional amount for GP cover was included.	Yes	Additional step down beds allowed rapid discharges. Flow maintained through these beds ensuring blockage was not simply transferred downstream from hospital. Outcomes for	yes
Support for short term residential placements	Residential Placements	Care home	£399,641	£399,641	44	Number of beds	No		Yes	Funding allowed additional short term residential care to be commissioned supporting faster discharges from hospital - 44 beds for 13 weeks	yes
Welfare Assistance for discharges	Other		£10,000	£10,000	134	N/A	No		Yes	Funding supported the provision of additional items like bedding and nightware, heating appliances, energy top ups and deep cleans to remove barriers to discharges. Also funded the	no